

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/451,108	11/30/1999	· WANG RAE KIM	K-119 8600	
7:	590 05/19/2003			
Fleshner & Kim, LLP 14500 Avion Parkway Suite125			EXAMINER	
			KUMAR, PANKAJ	
Chantilly, VA	20151		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			. 2631	1.1
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V (
Advisory Action	09/451,108	KIM, WANG RAE	•			
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pankaj Kumar	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	see MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) will not be entered or to ould be rejected is provided bel	o)⊠ will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-9,11 and 12</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>16-18 and 20-22</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-15 and 19</u> .						
						Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	iner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
0. Other:						
•						

. Patent and Trademark Office	•					

Art Unit: 2631

Applicant's argument that it is preferable for Kumar's phase shifter to be amplitude invariant does not mean that Kumar's phase shifter is amplitude invariant is respectfully traversed. Although Kumar does not write that the phase shifter is amplitude invariant, just as the applicant does not write that their invention works, it is inherent for a good phase shifter to be amplitude invariant just as it is inherent for applicant's good invention to work. For Kumar's invention to work properly, amplitude invariancy has to be necessarily present in its phase shifters. Phase shifters are meant to only shift the phase, as is termed as such.

Applicant's argument that the range of phases the applicant has specified is not optimum and therefore allowable is respectfully traversed. Since the applicant claimed a range of phases, the applicant is specifying that this range is optimum for its invention. It has been held that discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

The office respectfully traverses applicant's arguments regarding phase shift values. In one aspect, applicant's claim phase shifts between 0 and 360 degrees while the reference phase shifts between 0 and 90 and 0 and 180 degrees. In another aspect, applicant's claim phase shifts between 0 and 90 degrees while the reference phase shifts between 0 and 40 degrees. The office contends that it is a matter of design choice to choose the phase shift range.

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

CuMh

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 1/6/0 3